

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| O. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | 09/12/2003 | Terry W. Glass | 63032 | 8864 | |
| 7590 | 12/08/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY | | | | FEELY, MICHAEL J | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION P.O.BOX 1967 MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967 | | | ARTINIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1712 | | |
| | 7590 W CHEM! CCTUAL PF K 1967 | 09/12/2003 7590 12/08/2005 W CHEMICAL COMPANY CCTUAL PROPERTY SECTION K 1967 | 09/12/2003 Terry W. Glass 7590 12/08/2005 W CHEMICAL COMPANY CCTUAL PROPERTY SECTION K 1967 | 09/12/2003 Terry W. Glass 63032 7590 12/08/2005 EXAM W CHEMICAL COMPANY CCTUAL PROPERTY SECTION K 1967 ART UNIT | |

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/662,089 | GLASS ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Michael J. Feely | 1712 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | - | | | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S | eptember 2003. | | | | | |
| · | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · · | • | ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | • | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| l | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | <u>.</u> : | | | | |
| 6) | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)🖂 | 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| _ | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infon | te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) rr No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R | | ction Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1205 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/662,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-5 and 13-17, drawn to a water-soluble copolyhydroxyaminoether having polyoxyalkylene side chains and an aqueous composition thereof, classified in class 523, subclass 423.

II. Claims 6-12, drawn to a process of preparing a water-soluble polymer, classified in class 528, subclass 121.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case: (1) the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as: (a) an epoxy/amine adduct (non-polymerized) or (b) an oligomer having a small number of repeat units (for example, less than 5).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. If Group II is elected, this group contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: process 1 (claims 6 & 8-12), process 2 (claims 6 & 8-12), and process 3 (claims 6, 7 & 12).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,089 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,089

Art Unit: 1712

Communication

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Feely whose telephone number is 571-272-1086. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Feely Primary Examiner

MUGH

Art Unit 1712

December 5, 2005

MICHAEL FEELY
PRIMARY EXAMINER